

## Comment on Consultation paper on Next Steps on Reviews

The ISPCP Constituency respectfully submits the following comments:

### **1. Short term improvements [and Third Accountability and Transparency Review (ATRT3)]**

The ISPCP Constituency is in support of the proposed path forward. From our point of view, it is essential that the ATRT3 commences its work ASAP with a firm scope, timeline, and clear priorities.

### **2. Long-term improvements to streamline Specific Reviews**

We agree to the proposed path forward that this should be the focus of ATRT3 discussions/consultations. The team could start immediately from the first substantive meeting based on the comments already received on long-term options.

### **3. Long-term improvements to streamline Organizational Reviews**

We also agree to the proposed path forward in principle. As one of the major goals is “to formulate a shared understanding of the purpose of Organizational Reviews and their expected outcome” all SO/ACs concerned should engage in this effort. It is questionable – but should be discussed in advance – whether the format of a CCWG is appropriate to the purpose, in particular with regards to the timeline.

As we pointed out in our comment on Long-Term options earlier this year, the effort should also include an evaluation of the need to take a holistic review of ICANN the Organisation. Unless that process is undertaken at an early stage, any attempts to reshape the organisational reviews will merely paper over the cracks without addressing the core issue that could make a substantial difference to the credibility and effectiveness of the process.

Thank you for your consideration.